1 CHANCERY COURT OF LAFAYETTE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI 2 3 ROBERT SULLIVANT, SR. 4 PLAINTIFF 5 VS. CAUSE NO. CV-2021-612 ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR. DEFENDANT 6 7 8 9 EXPEDITED TRANSCRIPT OF THE MOTION HAD AND DONE IN 10 THE ABOVE-STYLED AND NUMBERED CAUSE, NOT FOR APPEAL PURPOSES, BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL, 11 12 CHANCELLOR, ON THE 7TH DAY OF JULY, 2023, IN CALHOUN 13 COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, TAKEN BY CECILY BOONE FAULKNER, 14 RPR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER FOR THE EIGHTEENTH 15 CHANCERY COURT DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI. 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 Present and Representing the Plaintiff: 19 HONORABLE SWAYZE ALFORD Attorney at Law 20 1300 Van Buren Oxford, Mississippi 38655 21 22 Present and Representing the Conservator: 23 HONORABLE WALTER DAVIS Attorney at Law 24 Dunbar Davis PLLC 324 Jackson Avenue East, Suite A 25 Oxford, Mississippi 38655 26 27 Present and Pro Se: 28 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR. 1002 Crawford Circle 29 Oxford, Mississippi 38655

1	INDEX
2	<u>Page No</u> .
3	Style, Number and Appearances 1
4	Motion by Mr. Sullivant 3
5	Response by Mr. Alford22
6	By Mr. Sullivant29
7	The Court
8	Court Reporter's Certificate40
9	
10	
11	NO EXHIBITS
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

(WHEREUPON, THE CHANCERY COURT OF 1 2 CALHOUN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, WAS DULY AND 3 LEGALLY CONVENED, AND THE FOLLOWING OCCURRED IN THIS MATTER.) 4 5 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: You 6 may be seated. Thank you. 7 Mr. Sullivant, you need to come 8 around and take this table. 9 All right. So the Court is going to 10 call the docket. I only have one case on the docket today, 2021-CV-612, Lafayette 11 12 County Chancery Court, Robert Sullivant, 13 Sr. versus Robert Sullivant, Jr. Mr. Alford for Mr. Senior. Mr. Davis 14 15 for Ms. Sherry Wall, the conservator. Mr. Freeland is not here, Dr. Perkins. 16 Mr. Sullivant, Jr. is Pro Se. 17 18 The Court signed an order on 19 June 23rd setting this matter here in 20 Calhoun County. 21 All right. Mr. Sullivant, what says 22 the petitioner, the plaintiff? 23 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Excuse 24 me, Your Honor? 25 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: I'm 26 sorry? 27 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: I didn't 28 hear what you said. 29 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Come

1 around. Just sit over there. You're the movant today. You filed a 2 motion for recusal of this Court. 3 4 Are you ready on your motion? 5 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: I am, but I have a -- I am, but I have one order of 6 7 business that I would like to bring to the 8 Court's attention before we get into that 9 motion. HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 10 All 11 right. Well, wait a minute. We're going 12 to find out if everybody is ready first. 13 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: All 14 right. 15 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Are 16 you ready, Mr. Alford? 17 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: Yes, Your Honor. HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 18 Mr. 19 Davis? 20 MR. WALTER DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: They're ready on your motion. Let's hear 22 23 it. 24 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Okay. 25 Well, the first order of business is, 26 yesterday I received a motion or a 27 response to my motion of recusal. I got it some time between 4:00 and 4:3028 29 yesterday; although, the e-mail said I got

1 it, I think, in my e-mail box at 3:43 2 possibly. 3 It's plaintiff's response to 4 opposition to defendant Robert Sullivant 5 Jr.'s motion to recuse. And according to the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure, 6 7 I think it's 6(d), responses have to be 8 filed five days before the hearing. 9 So I would like -- I think that is 10 completely inappropriate, and I really did 11 not have time to read this or prepare a 12 rebuttal for it today in court. 13 So I would like to move the Court 14 today to strike this response from the 15 record and ask that not any of it be used 16 today in court. 17 And that due to the severity of the 18 violation of the rule, I would ask that 19 Mr. Alford not be allowed to respond to my 20 argument. 21 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: All 22 right. Mr. Alford? 23 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: Your Honor, I 24 quess first what I would say is that under 25 the Rules of Civil Procedure there is no 26 response that is even required to 27 Mr. Sullivant's motion for recusal. If you look under Rule 1.1 of the 28 29 Uniform Chancery Court Rules, it talks

about motions for recusal of judges. All 1 2 it talks about is what the motion filed by 3 Mr. Sullivant is required to contain, the affidavit that is required. Such motion, 4 5 you know, should be filed with the judge, 6 which is you. 7 It talks about the time that Your 8 Honor has to rule on the motion. It says 9 being 30 days with hearing, if necessary. 10 If it's held, it will be in open court. 11 It doesn't even mention a response, Your Honor. There is nothing under the 12 13 rules that requires a response to any motion filed. 14 15 Mr. Sullivant, he cites Rule 6(d), 16 and says you've got to file a response 17 within five days. That is not the rule. 18 The rule is, if you're filing a 19 motion, then you've got to file a motion 20 within five days of a hearing. It says 21 nothing about having five days as a 22 response, Your Honor. 23 My intention, frankly, Your Honor, 24 was not to file a response. I was going 25 to show up. He asked for oral argument. 26 That's why we're here today. I was going 27 to show up and just make my argument. 28 In looking at his motion and looking 29 at the cases that talk about one of the

1 allegations that he made was ex parte communications, the cases that talk about 2 3 that in a lot of places refer to an affidavit of the attorney, me, who is 4 5 accused. I thought, well, maybe I need to file an affidavit. 6 7 So that's why I decided to file a 8 response, Your Honor, because Rule 6 later 9 in the paragraph, you know, says, When a 10 motion is supported by an affidavit, the affidavit will be served with the motion, 11 which Mr. Sullivant filed an affidavit 12 13 with his motion. 14 It says, And except, as otherwise 15 provided in Rule 59(c), opposing affidavits may be served not later than 16 one day before the hearing, unless the 17 court permits them to be served at another 18 time. 19 20 So under the rule -- I said, well, 21 this may be an opposing affidavit. I can 22 file it one day before the hearing. Ι 23 attached it to my response and thought, 24 you know, rather than having completely an 25 oral argument, this is part of the oral 26 argument I will make today, it's not 27 prohibited. It's not required. I filed 28 the affidavit one day prior to court as 29 the rule requests.

1 The rule he cites for five days has 2 no application to a response. It's his 3 motion that has to be filed five days before a hearing. 4 5 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Well, if we were technical, Mr. Sullivant, your 6 7 motion for recusal is out of time. Ιt 8 should have been filed within 30 days way back. 9 10 And you filed it, and I'm going to 11 hear it because I'm going to give you the 12 opportunity to be heard, like I have in 13 every case you've been before me. 14 There's been objections to things 15 that you've tried to introduce, and I have said, Mr. Sullivant, it is overruled. 16 You make your record and do whatever you can 17 to present your case. And that's what 18 19 we're going to do today. 20 You can make your argument, and 21 Mr. Swayze can make his argument. Unless 22 you want additional time to continue this 23 matter for another time to respond to 24 that, that's up to you. 25 You didn't ask for the additional 26 time. You just said you wanted him to be 27 disqualified and dismiss his response. 28 I don't think a response is required 29 in five days. I think he is right under

1 the rule. 2 So I'm here, and I'm ready to 3 proceed. Whatever you --MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Your 4 5 Honor, I guess you did mention that we may continue this. That was going to be part 6 7 of my motion. I'm sorry, I forgot. 8 I was going to say, we could -- I 9 don't really mind the response. It's just that I haven't had a chance to even read 10 11 it or go over it. And I'm sure I'm going 12 to be faced with this, and I got this 13 yesterday at 4:30. 14 And so I don't really mind that -- I 15 did scan it and read it. But if we could 16 continue for five days, that would give me 17 a chance to at least respond or research 18 and see what his response was. HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 19 What 20 do y'all say to that? 21 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: I say let's move 22 forward today, Your Honor. It doesn't 23 change anything. 24 Like I said, I didn't have to file a 25 response. I gave him 24 more hours' 26 notice of what I was going to argue than 27 he is entitled to. 28 We are all here. It's time to go 29 forward. My request is we go forward

today.

1

HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Well, 2 3 your motion stands on its own feet -- two feet. It's good or bad or whatever it is. 4 5 I'm inclined to proceed. I have been snowed under with all 6 kind of cases, and I think we -- my staff 7 8 attorney talked to you last week that we 9 need to get this on the docket within a certain period so I will have time to 10 11 respond to it myself because I have other 12 cases pending. I had an all day case yesterday that 13 I have got to write an opinion in. I'm 14 15 booked up solid. I will do this, I will allow you to 16 17 argue your motion. If you want to file 18 some kind of response to it, I'm not going 19 to make a ruling on it today. I will 20 write my opinion up in the next few days 21 or next week. 22 I will give you an opportunity to --23 if you want five days to respond to it to 24 file something different against it that 25 would change anything, then I will allow 26 you to do that. I'm not going to stop the hearing 27 28 today. I'm going to let it go forward. 29 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Good

1 enough. Thank you. HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: All 2 3 right. So it's your case, and you can move forward. 4 5 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: All right. I have two handouts or I have a 6 7 handout. I have two copies that I was 8 going to refer to some case law and to 9 some canons in the judicial ethics book. 10 If I could give one to Mr. Alford? 11 And, Your Honor, would you like to 12 have a copy that I may refer to? 13 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: That will be fine. 14 15 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: And I 16 think I have some water back there that I 17 left that I would like to get. 18 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: All 19 right. I quess while we're here again, 20 since you are pro se and still not a 21 lawyer, I'm going to have to swear you in 22 again, Mr. Sullivant. 23 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Good 24 enough. 25 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Raise 26 your right hand to be sworn. 27 (WHEREUPON, MR. SULLIVANT STOOD, FACED THE CLERK AND RAISED HIS RIGHT HAND 28 29 TO TAKE THE OATH.)

1 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: A11 2 right. 3 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Okay. Ι would like to start with stating that the 4 5 Mississippi Code of Judicial Conduct as adopted by the Mississippi Supreme Court 6 7 states in Canon 3E, paragraph one -- and 8 that refers to page 128 of my handout: 9 Judges should disqualify themselves 10 in proceedings in which their impartiality might be questioned by a reasonable 11 12 person. 13 In the matter of Rutland versus 14 Pridgen, which is on Page 135, It is established -- it is established for 15 recusal what a reasonable person, knowing 16 all the circumstances, harbor doubts about 17 the impartiality of a judge. 18 19 Although, I'm not going to go through 20 every citation of impartiality for my 21 motion, there are a few that I would like 22 to address today. 23 The first example I will begin with 24 is Your Honor's testimony at the May 9th 25 hearing in Oxford, that is, that I do not 26 love my father. 27 Your Honor was referring to a hearing 28 that took place in Holly Springs. The 29 events described by Your Honor did not

1 take place. It was false testimony. 2 Your Honor stated that Senior got up 3 and left the room and I sat there and did nothing. Then I left. That I -- and that 4 5 I did not even hug my father. That is not 6 what happened. 7 I did go up to my father after the 8 hearing to speak with him to see how he 9 was doing. He was still sitting. He had 10 not gotten up yet, but Ms. Stevens and Mr. Alford had him surrounded. They had me 11 12 blocked out. 13 I should not have to compete with 14 others to speak to my father in private. 15 I have resentment for Ms. Stevens and for 16 the undue influence she has put on my 17 vulnerable father just so she can take 18 advantage of him. 19 It made me angry that I had to negotiate around Ms. Stevens to speak to 20 21 my father. Then I decided I shouldn't have to do that, so I left. 22 23 I don't think Mr. Alford saw me as I 24 waited patiently to speak to my father, as 25 Mr. Alford was also facing Senior when I 26 approached and waited. 27 Your Honor should have seen me go up 28 to my father and wait, as they had him 29 surrounded. And they were talking to my

1 father, but Mr. Alford had seen me leave 2 without speaking to my father and after I 3 had been waiting to speak to my father. I allege that Your Honor's false 4 5 testimony of my character must have come from somewhere else. 6 7 Canon 2B, page 102 of the handout, of 8 the judicial ethics states, Judges shall not testify voluntarily as character 9 witnesses. 10 11 Not only that, but Rules of Civil 12 Procedure give me the right to examine 13 someone who testifies against me. I was 14 denied that right on May 8th, and a 1.5 prejudicial decision was made against me 16 in determining who would be conservator 17 based on false testimony and false 18 characterization, and I could not cross-examine to clarify. 19 20 There was not even a hearing as 21 prescribed by Mississippi Code to 22 determine who would be conservator, just a 23 false statement as the reason stated by 24 Your Honor to why I should not be the 25 conservator. 26 Later after reading the transcript, 27 it was this instance that compelled me to 28 do something. I researched recusal, 29 investigated the transcript for -- to find

1 more examples of bias, prejudice, and, 2 yes, clear and convincing evidence of ex 3 parte communication. After incidences of false character 4 5 testimony -- another incidence of false character testimony was when Your Honor 6 7 called me a liar just moments after 8 implying I was lying, regarding the 9 undisputed fact, that I did not go to 10 Batesville to transfer the joint funds 11 back to the joint bank account. 12 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 13 Mr. Sullivant, I didn't call you a liar. 14 Is it in the transcript that I called 15 you a liar? MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Yes. 16 You 17 said -- you accused me of a telling a half truth, and I believe that --18 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 19 I 20 didn't call you a liar. I didn't say that 21 word. 22 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Well, I 23 think I have proof -- I'm sorry, I take 24 that as being called a liar. 25 HONORABLE ROBERT O. WHITWELL: I'm 26 the judge of what the statements are and 27 whether or not I believe them or the credibility of them, and that is my job 28 29 and responsibility --

1 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Okay. HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 2 3 whatever I said, but I never called you a liar. 4 5 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Yes, Your Honor. But I still felt offended by that, 6 7 and I felt like you did call me a liar. 8 And I have a right to feel -- I have a 9 right to feel the way I want to --HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 10 You 11 have a lot of feelings that are not proper 12 in this case. But go ahead. 13 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: I object 14 to that, Your Honor. 15 Speculation does not constitute an undisputed fact. At page 8, line 15 of 16 17 that transcript of the hearing that day 18 after I had expressly stated that I never went to Batesville, Your Honor reminded me 19 20 that I was under oath, implying that he 21 believed I did go to Batesville and must 22 be lying. 23 At or about line 26 of page 9, Your 24 Honor testified outright that I was lying when Your Honor accused me of telling a 25 26 half truth after I had to state, again, 27 for the record that I did not go to Batesville. 28 29 I never went to Batesville. I bank

with Regions in Oxford where I live. 1 2 The real estate closing happened in 3 Batesville, and I still went to Oxford to make the bank deposit. 4 5 As I do all of my banking in Oxford, I just don't go to the Batesville Regions 6 7 branch. Why would I drive all the way to 8 Batesville to transfer the joint funds 9 back to the joint account? 10 In the record, there is no evidence 11 that I went to Batesville, as there cannot 12 be evidence of something that did not 13 happen. 14 The only mention in the record is 15 that my father, who has dementia, believed 16 I did. At the best, that is speculation, 17 but Your Honor regards the speculation of 18 an 89-year-old man with dementia as fact. 19 My affidavits and reasonableness 20 contradict this wishful speculation as 21 conclusive proof. It is not even hearsay, 22 but wishful, unreasonable speculation. 23 As we all heard on May 8th, during 24 the hearing to execute a will, my father actually believes he deposited those funds 25 26 into his own account in Batesville the day 27 after the -- the day of the closing. 28 The reality of the matter, as the 29 banking records show, the deposit was made

into the joint account in Oxford by me. 1 2 So why does Your Honor's statements 3 in the transcript read as if he believes I went to Batesville? How is Your Honor so 4 5 convinced that I went to Batesville? That. Your Honor believed I must be lying if I 6 7 said I did not go? 8 The reason is because Mr. Alford's 9 case is dependent upon it. Mr. Alford 10 contends that I went to Batesville, and 11 the Regions branch there in Batesville 12 told me that Senior had revoked my power 13 of attorney; although, he has zero evidence of this. 14 15 It is not even hearsay, only wishful speculation. I did the reasonable and 16 customary thing I do; that is, I went to 17 18 the Oxford branch to move the money back 19 to the joint account with my power of 20 attorney. It was a legal transaction, so 21 Mr. Alford has no case. 22 I allege that is the reason Your 23 Honor believes I went to Batesville and 24 called me a liar for stating the truth. 25 And another example at the hearing --26 at the hearing for summary judgment in 27 Oxford, page 17, line 14 of the 28 transcript, Your Honor states, You committed the offense already. You, 29

meaning me.

1

2 Then compared my using my legal power 3 of attorney in order to protect the joint funds from getting into the hands of Ms. 4 5 Stevens to a criminal act. As we already knew at the time of the 6 7 hearing, Ms. Stevens had already illegally put her name on almost \$500,000.00 of 8 9 court ordered protected funds. 10 It was my duty to keep the joint 11 funds from the sale of the farmhouse safe, 12 as I was planning on buying a house for my 13 father with the joint funds. 14 It would have been risky and poor 15 judgment to keep the funds in the joint 16 account where Ms. Stevens could once again 17 influence Senior and take the funds for herself. 18 19 At the hearing for summary judgment, 20 Your Honor stated I was guilty of a 21 criminal offense. Then he used -- that he 22 used to see as US prosecutor, where 23 someone who stole state funds and was 24 caught would want to write a check and 25 make it right. 26 I quote from the transcript from the 27 hearing that day, page 17, line 12, And the fact that you wrote the check after 28 29 the fact doesn't fly. You committed the

1 offense already before, before it 2 happened. 3 That is not what happened. I did not steal money. The comparison is 4 5 unreasonable given the facts and the law. I transferred the money legally per my 6 7 duty stated in the power of attorney. 8 Your Honor stated I have committed a criminal offense without evidence, nor 9 10 even with a trial, when Your Honor stated, You committed the offense. That shows and 11 12 proves prejudice that I cannot over come. 13 But what Your Honor's comparison is like is when Mr. Alford lost a large 14 15 amount of Senior's money that was supposed to be in his escrow account. 16 17 When caught by me with strong 18 evidence, Mr. Alford thought he could just 19 write a check to fix the problem of 20 violating a court order of losing client 21 funds, exactly like in Your Honor's 22 example when he was a US prosecutor. 23 But in this case, it did fly. Mr. 24 Alford received no admonishment, and the 25 motion to disgualify him for this forbidden behavior was dismissed without 26 27 even a hearing, because others were in the courtroom and that it was a matter for the 28 29 Bar to handle.

1 The criteria is not beyond a reasonable doubt. It is -- is there a 2 3 reasonable doubt in a reasonable person's mind. Not a lawyer or a judge, just a 4 5 reasonable person. Furthermore, the decision of recusal 6 7 shall be determined by the total -- by the 8 total circumstances as stated in Washington Mutual Finance versus Blackman, 9 10 which is on page 130 of my handout. 11 This is case law; and, therefore, a 12 time limit cannot be placed on the events 13 of recusal, even if the total case must be considered for recusal. 14 15 I believe that my motion and argument 16 today has provided compelling and ample 17 justification for Your Honor's recusal. 18 Would a reasonable person knowing all 19 of these circumstances harbor doubts about 20 the impartiality of a judge? The answer 21 is clearly yes. 22 If my motion is not granted, I shall 23 appeal to the Supreme Court of 24 Mississippi. And also I would like to add before I 25 26 end, you had mentioned that my motion was 27 out of date. I don't believe it is. Tt. is when I discover. It states in the 28 29 rule, the Chancery Rule 1.11, is when I

1 discover these things. 2 And it was not -- as going to be in 3 the response, that is not true. Ι discovered these items probably around 4 5 June 10th, June 15th, is when I came up with these. 6 7 And so the time limit had not expired 8 by the time I filed this motion, I think, 9 on the 25th. 10 So, anyway, actually I would request the opportunity for rebuttal. 11 12 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Okay. 13 Mr. Alford? 14 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: Your Honor, I 15 think it seems like Mr. Sullivant's 16 argument covered much less than his 17 motion, so I don't know whether I need to 18 go into things he didn't cover. I tried 19 to cover those in my response. 20 You know, he focused a lot on this 21 hearing in Holly Springs, you know, and 22 that Your Honor's observations were wrong. 23 So, I guess, I feel compelled to respond 24 to that. 25 We were in Holly Springs. Mr. 26 Sullivant, Jr., you know, made no attempt to speak to or even acknowledge his father 27 28 that day. He had ample opportunity to do 29 that.

1 You were in that courtroom. There 2 was nobody else in the courtroom, Your 3 Honor, that day. And had he really wanted to talk to his dad like he says he did, 4 5 all he had to do was say a word. He did not. He walked past. Didn't 6 7 even acknowledge him being there. Didn't 8 try to speak. Never saw him waiting on 9 him. 10 And so there was no attempt by 11 Junior, as he said, to speak to his dad or 12 try to get his dad -- all he had to do was 13 get my attention. We would have stepped 14 aside and been glad to do that. 15 I was surprised that there was no 16 contact or acknowledgment myself. 17 Your Honor, Mr. Sullivant talked 18 about, you know, the May 8th hearing and 19 that a conservatorship was established 20 that day with the Court appointing Sherry 21 Wall. 22 At that point in the process, Your 23 Honor, we had two Independent Medical 24 Examinations that both experts reports were in the record, both saying that 25 Mr. Sullivant, Sr. needed a conservator. 26 27 So there was no question that a 28 conservator was going to be appointed. 29 There was no hearing necessary for that.

1 Both reports were there. Dr. Perkins's report specifically 2 3 said that the conservator needed to be independent. That was in the record. 4 5 In addition to that, it's obvious from the record that Junior had sued his 6 7 father for money, and that's an ongoing 8 litigation where he is trying to recover 9 money from his dad. 10 So based on all of those things in the record, Your Honor followed the 11 12 recommendation of Dr. Perkins and 13 appointed Sherry Wall as an independent 14 conservator. 15 As to the allegations, you know, having to do with me, which he addressed 16 17 briefly here, Your Honor, on 18 December 12th, Mr. Sullivant, Jr., he 19 filed an emergency ex parte motion for 20 temporary restraining order and 21 preliminary injunction to freeze accounts. 22 That's what he filed. He filed it on 23 December 12th. 24 On December the 13th --25 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 2022? 26 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: 2022, yes, sir. 27 On December the 13th, the next day, he and 28 I entered an agreed order freezing the 29 The issue was never brought accounts.

before you on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

29

By the time that -- by the time it came up in front of you, it wasn't even part of the motion for summary judgment, but it was part of his argument.

In that, the orders were in place freezing the accounts and all the money had been deposited back into the account.

I mean, there was nothing for you to do about that. That issue never got in front of you. The argument got in front of you.

My position, you know, has been and continues to be that there was no ethical violation.

16 Mr. Sullivant, Sr. got his money for 17 a time. There is no ethical violation. 18 There's no case that says it would be an 19 ethical violation.

The case that is cited by Junior and his motion to disqualify doesn't deal with that. It deals with something completely different where an attorney kept money for himself that a trustee in a bankruptcy court made claim to.

26So none of those things were an27ethical violation, Your Honor.28In his motion for recusal, Mr.

Sullivant, Jr. makes lots of allegations

1 about Dr. Perkins, about Dr. Perkins's 2 lack of cooperation with him to set a 3 deposition, how that couldn't happen. He mentioned his testimony in court. 4 5 Well, you know, I didn't know this 6 was going to be such a big issue until, 7 you know, it's brought up here again in 8 the motion for recusal. 9 And what happened, when Mr. 10 Sullivant, Jr. originally tried to --11 originally tried to depose Dr. Perkins, 12 Your Honor, back in March, Mr. Sullivant, 13 Jr. contacted Dr. Perkins directly. He never mentioned it to me that he 14 15 wanted to depose him. Dr. Perkins then 16 let me know that Mr. Sullivant, Jr. was 17 trying to depose him. That's when I contacted him, which I 18 19 did. It's an e-mail that's attached to my 20 response to the motion to recuse where I 21 e-mail Mr. Sullivant, Jr. 22 I said, hey, Mr. Perkins says you're 23 trying to take his deposition. I think it 24 would be best if we try to coordinate this 25 among us so we can do it at a convenient 26 time and place for all of us. And he charges this for his deposition, and he 27 28 expects you to pay the costs. 29 No response to my e-mail, and that

was the end of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

And yet then we get all of these allegations about Perkins won't do this, will do this, you know, somehow I'm involved and I'm being a problem. I tried to facilitate it, and it never was responded to by Sullivant Jr., Your Honor.

So I think he complains about the fact that he wasn't aware that Dr. Perkins was going to be in court that day on the hearing, which seems and accuses me of doing something underhanded because I didn't tell him he was coming.

Well, it seems odd to me that one of the things pending that day was Mr. Sullivant, Jr.'s emergency petition for a conservatorship to be appointed.

I would think if he's trying to get a conservator appointed, then he would consider that potentially the doctors who did the IME would have to be at the hearing to talk about that potentially.

I had a motion before the Court that day for a conservatorship. So, again, the idea that we would have two matters set for hearing to appoint a conservatorship, it shouldn't be a shock that one of the doctors that examined his father was there to testify about the conservatorship, if

1 need be. 2 And, certainly, he was there to 3 testify about the authority to execute a will because he had examined him. 4 5 So, Your Honor, we --HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 6 And 7 there was a motion pending for him to be 8 allowed to make a will? 9 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: Yes, sir. 10 So it seems obvious to me that 11 anybody that knew those motions were 12 pending would have understood that the 13 doctor may be there, but it certainly --14 there was nothing requiring me to disclose 15 that, well, Dr. Perkins is going to be 16 there. He is an expert. His report in the file. 17 18 Mr. Sullivant, Jr. had the report, 19 and so there was no surprise about him 20 being, you know, an expert in the case, or 21 the fact that his father, who had asked 22 the Court, you know, for authority to 23 execute the will, will be there to testify 24 on his own behalf. 25 Your Honor, we addressed the other 26 issues raised by Mr. Sullivant, Jr., the 27 ex parte communication. He did mention 28 that in his argument, you know, and at 29 best it's pure speculation on his part.

1 He's got nothing to support it. He's 2 got no evidence to support it. You know, 3 what you know and what I know is it's 4 completely made up because it didn't 5 happen. So the idea that he can just put that 6 7 in his motion for recusal, as if it's 8 fact, because he's spun up an explanation 9 for it doesn't carry today, Your Honor. 10 In fact, it is nothing but a complete false allegation against me as well. 11 The rest of ours, Your Honor, is in 12 13 our response, as well as our authority 14 that we cite as to the burden of proof 15 here on Mr. Sullivant, Jr., none of which 16 we believe he meets and certainly the 17 facts are not as he states. 18 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Any 19 rebuttal, Mr. Junior? 20 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Yes. 21 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: All 22 right. 23 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Thank 24 you, Your Honor. 25 First, I would like to address that 26 Mr. Alford said I did not attempt to speak 27 to my father. I stated clearly that I did. 28 29 I remember very clearly. I was

1 standing behind Mr. Alford and Ms. 2 Stevens, and I even commented that I 3 didn't think he saw me. He probably just saw me leave. 4 5 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Are you talking about before the hearing 6 7 started or after the hearing? 8 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: After the 9 hearing. I was speaking after the 10 hearing. And, also, my father was still 11 sitting there, and they were trying to get 12 him up. 13 My whole point is, I don't feel like 14 I should have to negotiate around Mr. 15 Alford and Ms. Stevens when they were 16 blocking me out from speaking to my father. 17 18 I waited for a while, and I was quite 19 angry about the matter. I wanted to speak 20 to my father. I attempted to speak to my 21 father. 22 So what Mr. Alford stated in regards 23 to that is false testimony without a 24 doubt. 25 And, also, the next point, not having 26 a hearing he said for the conservatorship, 27 but the Mississippi Code explicitly states 28 there must be a hearing, especially if 29 there are -- if more than one person

1 wishes to be conservator, there should be 2 a hearing. 3 The Mississippi Code, again, is explicitly clear about that. There was no 4 5 hearing. I was petitioning to be 6 conservator, and that was completely 7 dismissed without a hearing in favor of 8 Sherry Wall because, as you stated, I 9 didn't love my father. 10 And that was a mischaracterization, 11 and I deeply resent that. It is not true. 12 And, again, when he -- when Mr. 13 Alford referred back to the events in December of '22, when he violated a court 14 order, that is clear evidence of that. 15 16 And he did not go back and check 17 on -- he put the money into my father's 18 own account. He never went back and 19 checked on it. 20 My father was writing checks to 21 scamsters right and left. He even bought a pickup truck for Evelyn, Ms. Stevens, 22 23 out of those funds. 24 And for him to just say he just wrote 25 a check, so there is no ethical violation 26 is an abomination. 27 And it also goes -- as I pointed out in my earlier response, in my earlier 28 29 argument, is that you stated to me -- that

1 wasn't good enough for me. You said I had already committed the offense. You can't 2 3 just write a check and make it okay, said, that will not fly. 4 5 But here in the case of Mr. Alford, it does fly. So I think that shows a bias 6 for Mr. Alford. 7 8 And then he stated, This issue didn't really come up again. It came up many 9 10 times. It came up in Holly Springs, and I 11 think that's when you referred to my 12 allegations as a hooligan sandbag. 13 So the issue did come back up, and there was no admonishment for Mr. Alford. 14 15 And this is a very serious offense. It's a disbarrable offense and at least 16 17 disqualified from the case. 18 But, again, when I had my motion to 19 disqualify him --20 HONORABLE ROBERT O. WHITWELL: If he 21 had taken the money himself, Mr. 22 Sullivant, Jr., I would say you're 23 correct. He didn't take any money. Your 24 father wrote the check out. 25 And the way I understand it, it was 26 put back in, and the money is back there. 27 And so if Mr. Alford would have kept 28 a nickel of it, I would have sanctioned 29 him, and the Bar would have already

1 disbarred him. I --2 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: And I 3 don't agree with that ruling. He only did this after I called him. 4 5 He was not checking on this money. 6 He was compelled to check on this money, 7 he never did. 8 I just don't agree with that. It's still a serious violation of the 9 10 professional ethics that he's sworn to, 11 and I was extremely offended when this 12 happened. 13 And, again, you stated that just 14 because you get caught and write a check 15 doesn't make it okay. You still committed 16 the offense. Those were your words. It's 17 in the transcript and I refer to --HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: I 18 19 don't know what you're --20 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Well, 21 it's in the transcript, and I referred to 22 the exact page and line in my -- this 23 morning -- before when I got up and spoke, 24 so that's where it will be. 25 I will address the Perkins issues 26 that he brought up. I filed a motion to 27 strike his -- I have filed a motion to strike Perkins's testimony, and I will get 28 29 into all of those little issues then. Ι

1 don't think it really pertains to much of 2 what is going on today. 3 And, also, there is -- Mr. Alford stated that my allegations of ex parte 4 5 communication was speculation at best. Ι think there is clear and convincing 6 evidence of that. 7 8 As I stated in my motion, when you stated, Where you put your PIN number on 9 10 his account, there is no mention of that 11 anywhere in the record. 12 And for another thing, I did not put my PIN number on his account. I have no 13 14 idea where that came from, but that was 15 very specific. You accused me of 16 something very specific that has never 17 been mentioned before, and, frankly, was 18 not true. So that is my clear and 19 convincing evidence. 20 We have to find out where you got 21 that information and entered it into the 22 record to get to the bottom of that. And 23 I think that is clear and convincing 24 evidence --25 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: I said 26 the word PIN because in the record, it's 27 about four times, that you set up an 28 account, didn't give the telephone number 29 You didn't give the to your dad.

1 credentials to him. You set up the 2 account in your own name. Later, there was a text from Mr. 3 4 Golmon where, I think, you gave dad the 5 credentials. I don't know the difference between a 6 7 credential and a PIN, but I'm telling you 8 right now Mr. Alford never told me 9 anything about a PIN. And that's what I called it. 10 11 I call it a PIN because that's what I 12 I do a PIN to get in my phone or a do. 13 PIN code or whatever. I don't know 14 anything about credentials. 15 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Well, a 16 PIN --17 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: I will be honest, I'm not very savey in 18 19 Ameritrade or any other accounts, so --20 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: All right. Well, just to be clear --21 22 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 23 made up and trying to be some --24 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: There is a difference between a PIN number and 25 26 credentials. And PIN number has never 27 been mentioned in the record before --HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 28 Ι mentioned it, because that's what I 29

1 thought it was. MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: I don't 2 3 know where you would have gotten that. 4 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Well, 5 that's what I'm telling you. I don't know the difference in them. I'm telling you 6 7 that right here. 8 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: I am surprised to hear that, Your Honor. 9 10 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: Well, 11 you can be surprised. I know very little 12 about telephones, computers. I have 13 people to do all of that. I don't know anything about -- I 14 15 really don't know what PIN numbers are. 16 I have never heard the word credentials until I saw it in the 17 affidavit. 18 19 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: To be 20 factual, I did not have my credentials on 21 his account. Per instructions from Mr. 22 Golmon, I put -- I set up -- the accounts 23 were all tied together with my accounts. 24 I broke them apart. Gave my father 25 his own credentials, which he had 26 credentials before. He just never used 27 them. And I gave them to Mr. Golmon to give to Mr. Alford. 28 29 And there was no mention of PIN -- I

1	just don't follow that connection between
2	credentials and accusing me of putting my
3	PIN number on his account so he cannot
4	access it, which is what you stated in the
5	record.
6	HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: It's
7	pretty clear that you put it in your name
8	
9	MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Well
10	HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: in
11	the record.
12	MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: I
13	disagree with that.
14	HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: That's
15	what I
16	MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: That's
17	all I have. I appreciate it. Thank you,
18	Your Honor.
19	HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: I want
20	to clear up a couple of things.
21	Number one, the Court is has the
22	right to be a silent observer for all of
23	the things that go on in the courtroom. I
24	completely disagree with you on what took
25	place in Holly Springs.
26	I watched as your dad was sitting at
27	the table right there and you were right
28	here. You weren't five feet from him. He
29	is sitting there alone by himself. He

1 wasn't blocked by anybody at that time. 2 I saw him -- maybe Mr. Alford got in 3 front of him, but you never spoke to him or anything. 4 5 The reason I made that comment was, is because in your motion, in your 6 7 emergency motion, you said, My dad and I 8 have a loving relationship between us. And I didn't see any loving relationship 9 10 that day. I didn't see you try to make an 11 12 attempt to speak to him or hug him or 13 anything else. 14 I was hoping somewhere along the 15 line, Mr. Sullivant, that you and your dad 16 might speak and come back together some 17 kind of way. 18 I made an observance as a judge, and 19 I have a right to do that. I'm not 20 testifying. I'm making a finding of what 21 I saw and what I observed, and that's what 22 that was. 23 That's why I made that comment, so 24 you can take it for what it's worth. 25 I also have a right under Rule 614(b) 26 to interrogate witnesses, call witnesses, 27 whatever I need to do to ferret out the 28 facts in a case. 29 And just because I ask you questions

1 during the hearing, it's not testimony of 2 the judge. It's an interrogation of you 3 as to ask you questions and make 4 statements about what I observed. That is 5 totally proper as a judge. 6 So in response to any of those 7 comments, that's my response to that. 8 I will take this matter under 9 advisement. 10 Anything else you want to say, Mr. Alford? 11 12 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: No, sir, Your 13 Honor. HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: 14 Mr. 15 Davis, I didn't give you an opportunity. 16 Do you want to say anything? 17 MR. WALTER DAVIS: I have nothing to 18 add, Your Honor. 19 HONORABLE ROBERT Q. WHITWELL: All 20 That will conclude this hearing. right. 21 I will file a written response, as I'm 22 required to under the rules, to this 23 motion that Mr. Sullivant, Jr. has filed. 24 So that will conclude this hearing. 25 MR. SWAYZE ALFORD: Thank you, Your 26 Honor. 27 MR. ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR.: Thank 28 you, Your Honor. 29 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)

1	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
4	COUNTY OF UNION
5	
6	I, Cecily Boone Faulkner, RPR, CSR,
7	Official Court Reporter for the Eighteenth Chancery District, Mississippi, do hereby certify that to the
8	best of my skill and ability I have reported the proceedings had and done in the above styled and
9	numbered cause on the docket of the Lafayette County Chancery Court, and the above and foregoing forty (40) pages contain a true, full and correct
10	transcript of my stenographic notes and realtime taken in said proceedings.
11	
12	I do further certify that my certificate attached hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no
13	responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.
14	copies not made under my control of difection.
15	This the 8th day of July, 2023.
16	
17	
18	
19	/s/ Ceeily Boone Faulkner
20	CECILY BOONE FAULKNER, RPR, CSR Official Court Reporter
21	512 Lakeview Cove New Albany, Mississippi 38652
22	(662)316-1829 National RPR No. 048426
23	Mississippi CSR No. 1157
24	My Commission Expires: 1/12/2024
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

1 CHANCERY COURT OF LAFAYETTE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI 2 3 ROBERT SULLIVANT, SR. PLAINTIFF 4 VS. CAUSE NO. CV-2021-612 5 ROBERT SULLIVANT, JR. DEFENDANT 6 7 8 Expedited Transcript of 7/7/23 9 10 11 Original Transcript: \$200.00 12 Deposit Paid: \$250.00 13 14 REIMBURSEMENT OF \$50.00 VIA PERSONAL CHECK 15 16 17 18 Thank you, 19 Cecily 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29