

Robert Sullivant <robert@steelandbarn.com>

Dr. Perkins discovery

Swayze Alford <salford@swayzealfordlaw.com>

Tue, Sep 26, 2023 at 3:21 PM

To: Robert Sullivant <robert@steelandbarn.com>

Cc: Kayla Ware <kayla@swayzealfordlaw.com>, Presley Easley <paralegal@swayzealfordlaw.com>

Robert,

After I sent the previous email, I saw that you referenced Rule 26 (a) (A) (ii) for the discovery. I think that you intended to reference Rule 26 (b) (4) (A) (ii). That provision is what we commonly refer to as the expert interrogatory. That interrogatory would be sent to one party when the other party is seeking discovery about an expert that the party intends to use at trial. So in other words, that interrogatory would be sent to Sullivant SR not to Dr. Perkins. It would be sent prior to trial where the expert would testify. At this point in the litigation, Dr. Perkins has testified already and he is not expected to testify at trial.

Sincerely,

Swayze Alford, Esq.

Swayze Alford Attorney At Law

Post Office Box 1820

1221 Madison Avenue

Oxford, Mississippi 38655

(662) 234-2025 phone

(662) 234-2198 fax

swayzealford.com

Confidentiality Note:

This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and also contain legally privileged or proprietary information and protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product immunity or other legal rules. If you are not the named addressee, intended recipient and/or received this message by mistake you are not permitted to use, copy, forward or disclose it, in whole or in part, without the express consent of the sender. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender or system manager, and delete the foregoing message. E-mail transmissions cannot be quaranteed to be secure as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.